

## Purchasing Summary

<b>EVENT #</b> 6688	<b>TITLE:</b> Travis Field Water Reclamation Facility Force Main		<b>COST:</b> \$410,470.00					
<b>TYPE OF PROCUREMENT:</b> RFP	<b>ANNUAL CONTRACT</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>ONE TIME PURCHASE</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
<b>CONTRACT TERM (IF APPLICABLE)</b> N/A								
<b>S/DBE (IF APPLICABLE)</b> No numerical DBE goals were established for this project, but proposers were encouraged to achieve the highest possible DBE participation and were allotted a maximum of 10 points in the evaluation criteria. Based on the proposed schedule of DBE participation submitted by the recommended proposer, the overall DBE participation for this project will be 19.4% using Coastline Consulting Services <sup>(C)</sup> .								
<b>MATRIX (IF APPLICABLE)</b>								
Proposer	Related Project Experience (20 points)	Project Team (15 points)	Project Approach (20 points)	Proposed Schedule (5 points)	Fees (25 points)	DBE Participation (10 points)	Local Vendor Participation (5 points)	Total (100 points)
Thomas & Hutton	19.90	14.90	19.60	5.00	23.69	10	5.00	98.09
EMC	14.90	13.80	19.40	3.90	25.00	8.28	5.00	90.28
HGB	20.00	14.80	18.70	4.70	15.66	6.06	5.00	84.92
GMC	14	13.8	14.4	4.1	18.32	9.64	5.00	79.26
<b>NOTES</b>								

Recommend approval to procure professional engineering design and construction overview services from Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co. in the amount of \$410,470.00. These services will be used by the Public Works and Water Resource Department to provide the following services for the Travis Field Water Reclamation Facility force main: direct bury services, jack and bore services, horizontal directional drilling services, surveying, geotechnical investigation and design, permitting, construction bidding, overview, and project closeout.

The method used for this procurement was the request for proposals (RFP) which evaluates criteria in addition to cost. The criteria evaluated for this RFP were related project experience, project team, project approach, proposed schedule, DBE participation, local vendor participation, and fees. Five proposals were originally received and were scored by the selection committee. After the preliminary evaluation, the selection committee shortlisted four firms based on scoring in related project experience, project team, project approach, and proposed schedule.

The proposal was advertised, opened, and reviewed. Delivery: As requested. Terms: Net 30 days. The proposers were:

B.P.	Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co. (Savannah, GA) <sup>(B)</sup>	\$410,470.00
	EMC Engineering Services, Inc. (Savannah, GA) <sup>(B)</sup>	\$389,025.00
	Hussey, Gay, Bell & DeYoung (Savannah, GA) <sup>(B)</sup>	\$621,180.00
	Goodwyn, Mills, and Cawood Inc. (Savannah, GA) <sup>(B)</sup>	\$530,850.00

A pre-bid conference was conducted and three vendors attended. (B) Indicates local, non-DBE business. (C) Indicates non-local, DBE business. Recommend approval.

Local Available: Yes

Total Sent: 734

Total Received: 5

DBE Received: 0

Vendor Federally Debarred/Suspended: No