Geotechnical Engineering Report

City of Savannah 63rd Street Relief Line

Savannah, Georgia

April 19, 2011 Terracon Project No. ES115039

Prepared for:

EMC Engineering Services, Inc. Savannah, Georgia

Prepared by:

Terracon Consultants, Inc. Savannah, Georgia



April 19, 2011

Terracon

EMC Engineering Services, Inc. 23 E. Charlton Street Savannah, Georgia 31401

- Attn: Mr. Ben Lockhart, P.E. P: [912] 232-6533 E: Ben_Lockhart@emc-eng.com
- Re: Geotechnical Engineering Report City of Savannah 63rd Street Relief Line Savannah, Georgia Terracon Project No: ES115039

Dear Mr. Lockhart:

Terracon Consultants, Inc. (Terracon) has completed the geotechnical engineering services for the above-referenced project. The service was performed in general accordance with our proposal No.PES110163. This report presents the findings of the subsurface exploration and provides geotechnical recommendations concerning earthwork, design and construction of the proposed project.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning this report, or if we may be of further service, please contact us.

Sincerely, Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Zengxuan (Frank) Li, Ph.D., P.E. Senior Engineer

Enclosures cc: 1 – Client (PDF) 1 – File

Guoming Lin, Ph.D., P.E. Senior Principal



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
EXEC		SUMMARY	i
1.0	INTRO	DDUCTION	1
2.0	PROJ	ECT INFORMATION	1
	2.1	Project Description	1
	2.2	Site Location and Description	2
3.0	SUBS	URFACE CONDITIONS	2
	3.1	Typical Profile	2
	3.2	Groundwater	4
4.0	RECO	MMENDATIONS FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION	4
	4.1	Recommendations on Box Culvert Support	4
	4.2	Recommendations on Sheet Pile Design and Construction	5
		4.2.1 Recommendations on Sheet Pile Design	5
		4.2.2 Construction Considerations	6
	4.3	Seismic Design Parameters	8
5.0	GENE	RAL COMMENTS	8

APPENDIX A – FIELD EXPLORATION

Exhibit A-1	Site Location Map
Exhibit A-2	Exploration Location Plan
Exhibit A-3	CPT Sounding Cross Section
Exhibit A-4	CPT Sounding Logs
Exhibit A-5	SPT Boring Cross Section
Exhibit A-6	SPT Boring Logs
Exhibit A-7	Hand Auger Boring Logs
Exhibit A-8	Field Exploration Description

APPENDIX B – SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Exhibit B-1	Laboratory Testing
Exhibit B-2	Seismic Design Parameters
Exhibit B-3	General Notes
Exhibit B-4	Unified Soil Classification System



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A geotechnical investigation has been performed for the proposed City of Savannah 63rd Street Relief Line in Savannah, Georgia. The field exploration program consisted of seven (7) cone penetration test (CPT) soundings to a maximum depth of 56 feet below the existing ground surface (BGS), five (5) standard penetration test (SPT) borings to a maximum depth of 55 feet BGS and twelve (12) hand auger borings to a depth of 6 feet BGS. The following geotechnical considerations were identified:

- Subsurface conditions along the project alignment are relatively variable. Three generalized profiles of soil strata are identified, which represent subsurface soil conditions at different exploration locations.
- Based on the encountered soil conditions, three sets of soil parameters are recommended for sheet pile design, corresponding to the three generalized profiles.
- For seismic design, the site shall be classified as Site Class D in accordance with the International Building Code (IBC) 2006, Section 1613.

This summary should be used in conjunction with the entire report for design purposes. It should be recognized that details were not included or fully developed in this section, and the report must be read in its entirety for a comprehensive understanding of the items contained herein. The section titled **GENERAL COMMENTS** should be read for an understanding of the report limitations.

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT CITY OF SAVANNAH 63RD STREET RELIEF LINE SAVANNAH, GEORGIA Terracon Project No. ES115039 April 19, 2011

1.0 INTRODUCTION

A geotechnical engineering report has been completed for the proposed City of Savannah 63rd Street Relief Line in Savannah, Georgia. The field exploration program consisted of seven (7) cone penetration test (CPT) soundings to a maximum depth of 56 feet below the existing ground surface (BGS), five (5) standard penetration test (SPT) borings to a maximum depth of 55 feet BGS and twelve (12) hand auger borings to a depth of 6 feet BGS. CPT sounding logs, SPT boring logs and hand auger boring logs, along with a site location map and exploration location plan are included in Appendix A of this report.

The purpose of this study is to provide subsurface information and geotechnical engineering recommendations relative to:

- subsurface soil conditions
- groundwater conditions
- foundation design and construction
- seismic considerations

2.0 **PROJECT INFORMATION**

2.1 **Project Description**

ITEM	DESCRIPTION
Proposed Improvement	A new drainage system includes junction boxes, box culverts and cast-in-place concrete and asphalt pavements. The installation of the drainage system will require excavation to depths on the order of 20 feet deep and the potential installation and removal of sheet piles or other methods as temporary shoring to support the excavation.
Finished floor elevation	Not applicable.
Maximum loads	Not applicable.
Maximum allowable settlement	Not provided. We assume one inch of total settlement and 0.5 inches of differential settlement between joints.
Grading (Cut or Fill)	Excavation to an approximate depth of 20 feet below grade and backfill to existing grade.



2.2 Site Location and Description

ITEM	DESCRIPTION
	The site is located along Abercorn Street to 63rd Street to 60th
Location	Street in Savannah, Georgia.
	Latitude: 32.0333°, Longitude: -81.0975°
Existing improvements	The alignment has existing stormwater drainage piping and paved roadways. There are various residential structures along the alignment.
Current ground cover	Asphalt and concrete roadways.
Existing topography	Elevations vary along the alignment. No survey work was performed for this geotechnical investigation.

3.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

3.1 Typical Profile

Based on the results of the field exploration, subsurface conditions along the project alignment are relatively variable. We have generated three generalized profiles, designated as profile I through profile III, which represent subsurface conditions along different reaches of the alignment.

Profile I representing the subsurface soil conditions from C-1 to B-4

Description	Approximate Elevation of Bottom of Stratum (feet)	Material Encountered	SPT Blow Count and/or N60 Derived from CPT Sounding
Stratum 1	1 to 4	Soft sandy clay, with interbedded thin sand layers	2 to 4
Stratum 2	-19 to -21	Medium dense to dense silty sands, with interbedded clay layers	10 to 45
Stratum 3	-28	Stiff sandy clay	12 to 17
Stratum 4Undetermined. Explorations were terminated within this stratumDense silty sand		Dense silty sand	30 to 40

Geotechnical Engineering Report

City of Savannah 63rd Street Relief Line Savannah, Georgia April 19, 2011 Terracon Project No. ES115039



Note:

Elevation survey was not performed as part of this geotechnical exploration. The surface elevations were extrapolated from the elevations in the geotechnical engineering report prepared by ECS Ltd for EMC Engineering Services, inc., dated April 27, 2001. The elevations should be considered approximate.

Profile II representing the subsurface soil conditions from C-2, C-3 to B-6.

Description	Approximate Elevation of Bottom of Stratum (feet)	Material Encountered	SPT Blow Count and/or N60 Derived from CPT Sounding
Stratum 1	-1 to 3	Medium dense silty sand, with interbedded thin clay layer	10 to 30
Stratum 2	Stratum 2 -6 to -1 Medium stiff sandy clay, with interbedded sand layer		5 to 7
Stratum 3	Stratum 3Undetermined. Explorations were terminated within this stratumMedium d sand, with i		10 to 40

Note:

Elevation survey was not performed as part of this geotechnical exploration. The surface elevations were extrapolated from the elevations in the geotechnical engineering report prepared by ECS Ltd for EMC Engineering Services, inc., dated April 27, 2001. The elevations should be considered approximate.

Profile III representing the subsurface soil conditions from C-5, C-7, C-9, C-11, B-8, B-10 and B-12.

Description	Approximate Elevation of Bottom of Stratum (feet)	Material Encountered	SPT Blow Count and/or N60 Derived from CPT Sounding
Stratum 1	1 to 13	1 to 13 Medium dense silty sand, with interbedded thin clay layer	
Stratum 2	-4 to 8	Soft sandy clay	1 to 3
Stratum 3	atum 3 -13 to 3 Loose to medium dense silty sand, with interbedded clay layer		7 to 33
Stratum 4	Stratum 4-36 to -22Soft to stiff sandy clay, with interbedded sand layer		2 to 14
Stratum 5	Undetermined. Explorations were terminated within this stratum.	Medium dense to dense silty sand	11 to 37



DescriptionApproximate Elevation of Bottom of Stratum (feet)Mat	erial Encountered SPT Blow Count from CPT Sounding
--	---

Note:

Elevation survey was not performed as part of this geotechnical exploration. The surface elevations were extrapolated from the elevations in the geotechnical engineering report prepared by ECS Ltd for EMC Engineering Services, inc., dated April 27, 2001. The elevations should be considered approximate.

Details of subsurface conditions encountered at each exploration location are presented on the individual exploration logs in Appendix A of this report. Stratification boundaries on the logs represent the approximate depth of changes in soil types; and the transition between materials may be gradual.

3.2 Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered at depths of 4 to 6 feet below existing ground surface during SPT borings. It should be noted that groundwater levels tend to fluctuate with seasonal and climatic variations, as well as with construction activities. As such, the possibility of groundwater level fluctuations should be considered when developing the design and construction plans for the project. The groundwater table should be checked prior to construction to assess its effect on site work and other construction activities.

4.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION**

The following evaluation and recommendations are based upon our understanding of the proposed construction and the results of the field exploration. If the above-described project conditions are incorrect or changed after this report, or subsurface conditions encountered during construction are different from those reported, Terracon should be notified and these recommendations must be re-evaluated to make appropriate revisions.

4.1 Recommendations on Drainage Structure Support

Excavation required for the installation of box culverts and junction boxes will remove overburden soils. In general, the weight of soil to be removed should be greater than the weight of box culverts or junction boxes resulting in a reduction of stresses within the subgrade soil below the drainage structures. Strictly speaking, the box culverts and junction boxes should not cause consolation settlements in addition to re-compaction of subgrade soil which should be small and tolerable. However, as shown in the soil boring and CPT sounding profiles, the soils along the alignment are variable. Depending on the depth of excavation, the subgrade soils may be soft clays that are not suitable for subgrade support or in unstable condition. Placement



of concrete structures on unstable subgrade can result in movements or settlements of the structures. It is important that the subgrade along the entire alignment be inspected and tested during construction. Unsuitable or unstable subgrade, if encountered, should be repaired in accordance with the following procedures.

- After excavating to the required subgrade elevation, a technician should inspect the bottom of the excavation for soil suitability and stability. The technician should perform hand auger borings with dynamic penetrometer tests to a depth of at least 5 ft below the subgrade to verify the soil conditions and bearing capacity.
- If unsuitable materials such as organic soils or soft clays are encountered during subgrade inspection, they must be undercut and replaced with suitable structural fill or graded aggregates. If subgrade soils are unstable due to excessive moisture content, the unstable soils should be excavated and re-compacted under favorable weather conditions or replaced with graded aggregates. The depth and extent of the undercut should be determined on-site by a Geotechnical Engineer based on the conditions of the weak material.

We recommend all box culverts and junction boxes be placed over a bedding layer consisting of 12 inches of #57 stone over a layer of filter fabric. The #57 stone should help dewatering effort to allow water to run through. The filter fabric is intended to control migration of fine sands into the voids within the #57 stone. A net bearing capacity of 1500 pounds per square foot is recommended for the box culvert design.

4.2 Recommendations on Excavation Shoring Design and Construction

4.2.1 Recommendations on Excavation Shoring Design

Based on the soil conditions encountered, we recommend the following soil parameters be used for the excavation shoring design. Three tables with recommended soil parameters are included, corresponding to the three typical profiles in section 3.1. Any surcharge or additional load behind the sheet pile should be considered in the design.

Bottom		T.U.W.	E.U.W.	Fi	С	Ko	Ka	Кр
Elevation (ft)	Material Description	(pcf)	(pcf)		(psf)			
3	Soft sandy clay	115	50	0	400	1	1	1
-21	Medium dense to dense silty sand	125	60	33	0	0.46	0.29	3.39
-28	Stiff sandy clay	120	55	0	2000	1	1	1
Undetermined	Dense silty sand	125	60	33	0	0.46	0.29	3.39

Recommended Soil Parameters for Profile I



Note: E.U.W.: Total Unit Weight, pcf;

- E.U.W.: Effective Unit Weight, pcf;
- Fi: Angle of Internal Friction, degree;
- C: Cohesion, psf

- Ko: Coefficient of At Rest Earth Pressure;
- Kp: Coefficient of Passive Earth Pressure;
- Ka: Coefficient of Active Earth Pressure;

			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••				
Bottom Elevation	Material Description	T.U.W.	E.U.W.	Fi	С	Ko	Ka	Кр
(ft)		(pcf)	(pcf)		(psf)			
3	Medium dense silty sand	125	60	30	0	0.5	0.33	3.0
-21	Medium stiff sand clay	120	55	0	1000	1	1	1
Undetermined	Medium dense silty sand	125	60	30	0	0.5	0.33	3.0

Recommended Soil Parameters for Profile II

Note: E.U.W.: Total Unit Weight, pcf;

E.U.W.: Effective Unit Weight, pcf;

Fi: Angle of Internal Friction, degree;

C: Cohesion, psf

Ko: Coefficient of At Rest Earth Pressure; Kp: Coefficient of Passive Earth Pressure;

Ka: Coefficient of Active Earth Pressure;

Bottom Elevation	Material Description	T.U.W.	E.U.W.	Fi	C (pof)	Ko	Ka	Кр
(ft)		(pcr)	(pcr)		(psi)			
7	Medium dense, silty sand	125	60	30	0	0.5	0.33	3.0
2	Soft sandy clay	115	50	0	500	1	1	1
-5	Medium dense silty sand	125	60	30	0	0.5	0.33	3.0
-29	Medium stiff clay	120	55	0	1000	1	1	1
Undetermined	Medium dense to dense sand	125	60	33	0	0.46	0.29	3.39

Recommended Soil Parameters for Profile III

Note: E.U.W.: Total Unit Weight, pcf;

E.U.W.: Effective Unit Weight, pcf;

Fi: Angle of Internal Friction, degree; C: Cohesion, psf Ko: Coefficient of At Rest Earth Pressure; Kp: Coefficient of Passive Earth Pressure; Ka: Coefficient of Active Earth Pressure;

4.2.2 Construction Considerations

Construction of the proposed relief line will require excavation to a maximum depth of approximately 20 feet below existing grades. There are many residential houses located near the proposed alignment. Based on the distance of the houses to the construction site and our experience with similar projects, we recommend the owner of the project and the design/construction team to take precautionary measures to avoid damage to the adjacent structures to protect the property owners as well as the City and the design/construction team against frivolous claims. The following precautionary measures are recommended based on our experiences in similar projects.



<u>**Communications**</u> We recommend that the City communicate with local communities, especially adjacent residences, about the construction schedule, sequence and potential impact to their properties from the proposed construction. The residences should be provided with a point of contact to answer their questions and address their concerns.

<u>**Pre-construction condition survey**</u> We recommend a pre-construction survey be performed for the structures located close to the construction site or potentially having the most significant impact from the construction activities. The pre-construction survey should include documentation of existing cracks and any other noticeable distresses on the structures.

Monitoring during construction Depending on the outcome of the condition survey and the method of construction, the existing structures may be monitored during construction. The monitoring may include recording the changes of existing cracks and ground vibration. We recommend the pre-condition survey and monitoring be performed by the City and its consultants, not by the contractor. Groundwater monitoring should be performed considering the depth of dewatering. The consultant to be retained by the City should prepare plans for monitoring based on the construction plan and results from the pre-condition survey.

Dewatering Groundwater was encountered at approximately 4 to 6 feet below the existing ground surface. We anticipate dewatering will be needed for excavation. We recommend that the groundwater be lowered to a depth of at least two feet below the bottom of the excavation. The contractor should determine the method of dewatering. It is important to note that extensive dewatering could cause a drop in groundwater level outside the sheet pile walls which may have detrimental impact to the existing structures. If possible, we recommend that dewatering be limited to inside the excavation. Furthermore, dewatering should be performed as short duration as necessary. Monitoring of groundwater levels may be performed if extensive dewatering is required where structures exist in the close proximity.

Potential Hard Installation Dense soils were encountered at several of the exploration locations. The contractor should review the soil conditions and use proper equipment to install the excavation support to the design tip elevations.

Backfill Placement All material to be used for backfill should be evaluated and tested by Geotechnical Engineer to determine their suitability for backfill. In general, backfill material should be granular materials containing less than 25% fines passing the No. 200 sieve. All backfills should be placed in thin (eight to ten inches loose) lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the soil's modified proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D-1557). In most cases, the on-site soils have moisture content above optimum value. Some manipulation of the moisture content will be required during the filling operation to obtain the required degree of compaction. The manipulation of the moisture content is highly dependent on weather conditions and site drainage conditions. Therefore, the contractor should prepare both dry and wet fill materials to



obtain the specified compaction during grading. Sufficient density tests should be performed to confirm the required compaction of the fill material. Based on the findings of field exploration, sandy soils classified as SP-SM, SM, and SC may be suitable backfill material, while the clayey soils classified as CL or CH will not be acceptable backfill material.

4.3 Seismic Design Parameters

Based on the findings from the subsurface exploration and our knowledge of the local geological formation in the project area, the site can be classified as Site Class D in accordance with IBC 2006. The seismic design parameters obtained based on IBC 2006 are summarized in table below. The design response spectrum curve, as presented in the appendix, was developed based on the S_{DS} and S_{D1} values according to IBC 2006.

	•		•				
Site Location (Lat. – Long.)	Site Classification	Ss	S ₁	Fa	Fv	S _{DS}	S _{D1}
32.033312° -81.097485°	D	0.393g	0.120g	1.486	2.32	0.389g	0.186g

Summary of Seismic Design Parameters

1. In general accordance with the 2006 International Building Code, Table 1613.5.2.

2. The 2006 International Building Code requires a site soil profile determination extending a depth of 100 feet for seismic site classification. The current scope does not include 100 foot soil profile determination. Explorations for this project were extended to a maximum depth of 56 feet. The seismic site class definition was provided in consideration of the overall soil conditions as well as the general geology of the area.

5.0 GENERAL COMMENTS

Terracon should be retained to review the final design plans and specifications so comments can be made regarding interpretation and implementation of our geotechnical recommendations in the design and specifications. Terracon also should be retained to provide observation and testing services during grading, excavation, foundation construction and other earth-related construction phases of the project.

The analysis and recommendations presented in this report are based upon the data obtained from the explorations performed at the indicated locations and from other information discussed in this report. This report does not reflect variations that may occur between exploration locations, across the site, or due to the modifying effects of construction or weather. The nature and extent of such variations may not become evident until during or after construction. If variations appear, we should be immediately notified so that further evaluation and supplemental recommendations can be provided.



The scope of services for this project does not include either specifically or by implication any environmental or biological (e.g., mold, fungi, and bacteria) assessment of the site or identification or prevention of pollutants, hazardous materials or conditions. If the owner is concerned about the potential for such contamination or pollution, other studies should be undertaken.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of our client for specific application to the project discussed and has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices. No warranties, either express or implied, are intended or made. Site safety, excavation support, and dewatering requirements are the responsibility of others. In the event that changes in the nature, design, or location of the project as outlined in this report are planned, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report shall not be considered valid unless Terracon reviews the changes and either verifies or modifies the conclusions of this report in writing.

APPENDIX A FIELD EXPLORATION

Exhibit A-1 Site Location Map
Exhibit A-2 Exploration Location Plan
Exhibit A-3 CPT Sounding Cross Section
Exhibit A-4 CPT Sounding Logs
Exhibit A-5 SPT Borings Cross Section
Exhibit A-6 SPT Boring Logs
Exhibit A-7 Hand Auger Boring Records
Exhibit A-8 Field Exploration Description





















PROJECT N	PROJECT No. ES115039					LOC OF BODINC NO. B.4				
	City of Savannah 63 Savannah	rd Street Relief Line			LOG OF I	BORING	NO.	В-4		
DATE :	4/6/2011	LOGGED BY: JM			NOTES: Soil classified in acco	ordance w	ith AS	STM	[
DRILLING N	METHOD: Mud Rotary	HAMMER TYPE: Safety	1		D2488. The SPT blow counts have not been					
DRILLING RIG: CME-55 DRILLER: Old South Drilling					ien pressu	IC.				
WATER LEV	TEL @ TOB: 6	WATER LEVEL @ 24 hrs: N	NA							
DEPTH (feet) GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION HATERIAL			SAMPLE NO/TYPE	STANDARD PENETF (blows	RATION TI s/foot)	EST DA	ата 80	N VALUE	
	Brown, silty fine SAND (SM),	moist		HA1				ĦĦ		
	Brown, sandy lean CLAY (CL)	, moist	15.0	HA2						
	Olive-gray, sandy lean CLAY (CL), moist		НАЗ				+++	_	
	Very loose, brown and tan, silty clasts moist	fine SAND (SM), with clay		SS4	•				4	
10 -	Soft, gray, sandy lean CLAY (C	CL), wet	10.0	SS5	4				4	
15 -	Very soft, brown and gray, sand	ly lean CLAY (CL), wet	5.0	SS6					2	
20	Medium dense, gray, silty SAN	D (SM), wet	0.0	SS7					21	
25	Dense, gray, silty fine SAND (S	SM), wet	-5.0	SS8			$\mathbf{\mathbf{x}}$		46	
30	Medium dense, gray, silty fine	SAND (SM), with trace clay, we	et -10.0 -	SS9		4			26	
35 - 94 - 14	Medium dense, gray, clayey coa with broken shell fragments, we	arse SAND (SC), with gravels, et	-15.0	SS10		-			17	
40	Very stiff, gray, sandy fat CLA	Y (CH), moist	-20.0	SS11					16	
45	Very stiff, gray, sandy fat CLA	Y (CH), moist	-25.0	SS12					17	
		(0.0. 11								
50 -	Dense, gray, silty coarse SAND	(SM), with trace clay, wet	-30.0 -	SS13			>		35	
	END OF BORING @ 50.0 F	I.								
	Image: Second system Image: Second system Image: Second									

PROJECT No. ES115039 City of Savannah 63rd Street Relief Line					LOG OF BORING NO. B-6				
	Savannah				NOTES:				
	4/0/2011 IETHOD: Mud Rotary	HAMMER TYPE: Safety		Soil classified in accordance with ASTM					
DRILLING R	IG: CME-55	DRILLER: Old South Dril	lina		adjusted for overburder	i pressure.	e not c		
WATER LEV	EL@TOB: 6	WATER LEVEL @ 24 hrs: NA			-				
			z					Τ	
DEPTH (feet) GRAPHIC LOG	OTTYPE Sample			STANDARD PENETRA (blows/fo 0 10	TION TEST pot) 20 30	DATA 50 80	N VALUE		
	Light brown, silty SAND (SM),	with trace clay, moist	20.0	HA1					
	Brown, silty fine SAND (SM), 1	noist	20.0	HA2					
	Tan, silty fine SAND (SM), mo	ist	-	HA3				H	
	Medium dense, brown and light orange, silty fine SAND (SM), moist			SS4				18	
	Medium dense, brown and light orange, silty fine SAND (SM), moist			SS5				28	
			10.0						
	Medium dense, tan, silty fine SA	AND (SM), wet		SS6		┥		20	
			50			7			
	Medium dense, tan, light orange and gray, silty fine SAND (SM),			- 557		1		16	
$20 - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ wet		-		/			-		
			0.0						
25 -	Medium stiff, gray, sandy lean C	LAY (CL), wet	-	SS8				7	
			-5.0						
	Medium dense, gray, silty fine S	SAND (SM), wet	-	SS9		▶		17	
			-10.0]		/			
	Medium dense, gray, silty SAN	D (SM), with clay clasts, wet		5510				12	
35 -			-		```			+	
	Madium danas alius anno ailte	Sura CAND (CM) and	-15.0			\mathbf{N}			
40 -	Medium dense, onve-gray, sitty	line SAND (SM), wet	-	SS11				23	
			-20.0						
	Medium dense, olive-gray, silty	fine SAND (SM), wet		SS12				23	
			-25.0						
	Medium dense, gray, silty coars	e SAND (SM), wet		- SS13				26	
50	END OF BORING @ 50.0 ft			-				Η	
			▼ 24.	hrs w	ater table				
	llerrac	DN	₩a	ater tab	ble at end of the boring	Page	1 of	F 1	

PROJE	PROJECT No. ES115039 City of Savannah 63rd Street Relief Line						LOG OF BORING NO. B-8						
			Sa	wannah,	Georgia				NOTES				
DATE	:	4/	/6/2011		LOGGED BY:	JM			Soil classified in acc	cordance w	vith A	\ ST№	1
DRILLING METHOD: Mud Rotary HAMMER TYPE: Safety			D2488. The SPT blow counts have not been										
DRILLING RIG: CME-55 DRILLER: Old South Drilling			ng			den presse	п с .						
WATE	R LEVI	EL @ TOB:	4		WATER LEVEL @	24 hrs: NA							
DEPTH (feet)	HI (90) DHATERIAL DESCRIPTION			ELEVATION (feet)	SAMPLE NO/TYPE	STANDARD PENET (blov	TRATION T vs/foot)	EST	DATA	N VALUE			
		Tan and I	light orange, s	silty fine S	AND (SM), moist		25.0	HA1					
_₹]		Tan and I	light orange, s	silty fine S	AND (SM), moist			HA2					
5 -		Tan and I	light orange, s	silty fine S	AND (SM), moist		20.0	HA3					
		Medium	dense, gray, s	ilty fine SA	AND (SM), wet		20.0	SS4		•			13
		Medium clay clast	dense, tan and ts, wet	d light orai	nge, silty fine SAN	D (SM), with	·	SS5					18
		2					15.0						
		Soft, gray	y, lean CLAY	(CL), wet				SS6					3
15 -							10.0	-					
	$\frac{1}{1}$	Medium	dense grav s	ilty fine S	AND (SM) wet			007					
20 -		Iviculuii	delise, gruy, s	inty line 5/	II (D (DIVI), wet		-	557				++++	24
							5.0	1					
25	Soft, gray, sandy lean CLAY (CL), with broken shell fragments,			SS8	\checkmark				4				
		wet					0.0	-					
		Stiff, oliv	e-gray, sandy	SILT (MI	L), wet			SS9					10
30 -							-5.0			$\land \vdash$			
		Madium	damaa array a	He CANT	(SM) wat		-	ł					
35 -		Medium	uense, gray, s	iny SANL	(SNI), wet		-	SS10				++++	18
							-10.0						
		Stiff, oliv	e-gray, sandy	lean CLA	Y (CL), wet] -	SS11	•				9
							-15.0]					
		Medium	dense, gray, s	ilty fine S.	AND (SM), wet			SS12		\mathbf{V}			11
45 -							-20.0			\mathbf{N}		++++	
			,	•1,			-	-					
50			dense, gray, s	$\frac{1100}{2}$ 50.0 ft	SAND (SM), wet			SS13		>		\square	24
		END O	F BURING (<i>a</i>) 50.0 ft									
						_	-	1	I		<u> </u>		
	24-hrs water table												
			: C	JL			- Wa	iter tab	ie at end of the boring	Pa	ge	1 of	⁻ 1

PROJECT No. ES115039 City of Savannah 63rd Street Relief Line Savannah, Georgia					LOG OF BORING NO. B-10					
DATE :	4/5/2011	LOGGED BY: JM			NOTES:	aa with		<u></u>		
DRILLING N	METHOD: Mud Rotary	HAMMER TYPE: Sa	fety		D2488. The SPT blow counts have not been					
DRILLING F	RIG: CME-55	DRILLER: Old Sout	h Drilling		adjusted for overburden p	ressure.				
WATER LEV	/EL @ TOB: 3	WATER LEVEL @ 24 hrs:	NA							
DEPTH (feet) GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DE	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (see) (s			STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA (blows/foot)					
	Dark brown, silty SAND (SM), fragments, moist Light brown, silty SAND (SM), Light brown and light orange, cl	with woods, with broken sho moist ayey SAND (SC), moist	ell 20.0	HA1 HA2 HA3						
	Dense, tan and light brown, fine SAND with silt (SP-SM), moist Medium dense, tan and light brown, fine SAND with silt (SP-SM), moist			SS4 SS5				33		
15 -	Very loose, gray, fine SAND wi	th silt (SP-SM), wet	10.0	SS6				4		
20 -	Very soft, gray lean CLAY (CL)	, wet		SS7 (1		
25	Loose, gray, silty coarse SAND (SM), with broken shell fragments, wet		-5.0	SS8				7		
30	Very soft, gray, sandy lean CLA Medium stiff, gray, lean CLAY	Y (CL), wet	-10.0	SS9				2		
35	Medium stiff, gray, lean CLAY	(CL), wet	-15.0	SS10				5		
45 -	Medium stiff, gray, sandy lean C	CLAY (CL), wet	-20.0	SS12				5		
50	Medium dense, gray, silty SAN	D (SM), wet	-25.0	SS13				13		
55	Medium dense, gray, silty SAN END OF BORING @ 55.0 ft	D (SM), wet	-30.0	SS14				37		
	lerrac	DN	⊻ 244 <u>₹</u> ₩a	hrs wa	tter table le at end of the boring	Page	1 of	F 1		

PROJECT No. ES115039										
	City of Savannah 63 Savannah	rd Street Relief Line , Georgia				LOG OF 1	BORING	NO. B	-12	
DATE :	4/5/2011	LOGGED BY:	JM			NOTES: Soil classified in acc	ordance w	ith AS	тм	
DRILLING N	METHOD: Mud Rotary	HAMMER TYPE:	Safety		D2488. The SPT blow counts have not been					
DRILLING RIG: CME-55 DRILLER: Old South Drilling					adjusted for overbure	den pressu	re.			
WATER LEV	/EL @ TOB: 4	WATER LEVEL @ 24 h	rs: NA							
DEPTH (feet) GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DI	ESCRIPTION	SCRIPTION (teel) C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C			STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA (blows/foot)				N VALUE
	Brown, silty SAND (SM), mois	t		-	HA1					
	Dark brown, clayey SAND (SC), moist	1	0.0	HA2					
5 -	Light brown, silty fine SAND (S	SM), moist		_	HA3					
	moist	y SAND (SM), with clay	y clasts,	50	SS4					16
10 -	Medium dense, light brown, silt	y SAND (SM), moist		_	SS5				+++	22
15 -	Soft, gray, sandy lean CLAY (C	L), moist	1	0.0	SS6	\sim				3
				-						
20	Medium dense, gray, silty coars	e SAND (SM), with a lo	t of -	5.0	SS7) X			13
				-						
	Dense, light brown and gray, sil	ty SAND (SM), wet	-1	0.0	SS8			•		33
	Loose, gray, silty SAND (SM),	wet	-1:	5.0	922					8
30				_	555	\				
	Stiff grav sandy lean CLAV (C	T) wet		00			\setminus			
35 -	Sun, gray, sandy real CLAT (C	L), wet	2		SS10		1		+++	14
				_						
40 - 0	Medium dense, gray, silty SANI	O (SM), wet	-2	.5.0	SS11		†			11
				-						
	Loose, gray, silty SAND (SM),	wet	-31	0.0	SS12					8
				-						
	Medium dense, gray, fine SAN	D with silt (SP-SM), wet	-3	5.0	SS13					20
50	END OF BORING @ 50.0 ft			_						
 										<u> </u>
24-hrs water table										
	IIGLIGC	JN	Ŧ	Wat	ter tab	le at end of the boring	Pag	ge 1	of	1
							-			

Hand Auger Boring Log

Project Name: City of Savannah 63rd Street Relief Line Project Number: ES115039 Project Location: Savannah, Georgia

C-1								
Depth Below Grade (inch)	Material Description	USCS CLASSIFICATION						
0 to 30	Black and dark brown, sandy CLAY	CL						
30 to 60	Black and dark brown, sandy CLAY, with tan streaks	CL						
60 to 72	Black and dark brown, sandy CLAY, with tan/red streaks	CL						
Groundwater not Encountered								

C-2								
Depth Below Grade	Motorial Description	USCS						
(inch)	Material Description	CLASSIFICATION						
0 to 24	Light brown, light orange and tan, silty fine SAND	SM						
24 to 48	Tan, fine SAND with silt	SP-SM						
48 to 72	Tan and light orange, silty fine SAND, wet	SM						
Groundwater not Encountered								

C-3								
Depth Below Grade	Material Description	USCS						
(inch)	Wraterial Description	CLASSIFICATION						
0 to 36	Brown and light orange, silty SAND, w/ clay clasts	SM						
36 to 72	Brown and light orange, sandy CLAY	CL						
Groundwater not Encountered								

C-5								
Depth Below Grade	Material Description	USCS						
(inch)	Material Description	CLASSIFICATION						
0 to 12	Brown silty SAND, with clay clasts	SM						
12 to 72	Tan and light orange, silty SAND, with clay clasts	SM						
Groundwater not Encountered								

C-7								
Depth Below Grade	Material Description	USCS						
(inch)	Waterial Description	CLASSIFICATION						
0 to 72	Light brown and light orange, silty fine SAND	SM						
Groundwater not Encountered								

С-9				
Depth Below Grade	epth Below Grade Material Description			
(inch)		CLASSIFICATION		
0 to 24	Light brown, silty fine SAND, with trace roots	SM		
24 to 72	Light brown, sandy CLAY	CL		
Groundwater not Encountered				

Groundwater not Encountered

C-11				
Depth Below Grade	USCS			
(inch)	Material Description	CLASSIFICATION		
0 to 24	Light brown, silty fine SAND, with trace roots	SM		
24 to 72	Light brown, sandy CLAY	CL		
Groundwater not Encountered				

FIELD EXPLORATION DESCRIPTION

Our field exploration program consisted of a total of 7 cone penetration test (CPT) soundings, 5 standard penetration test (SPT) borings, and 12 hand auger borings. The desired exploration locations were marked in the field by the Terracon field engineer using existing street features. The exploration locations as shown in the Exploration Location Plan should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the means and methods used to define them.

The CPT soundings were performed in accordance with ASTM D 5778 using a Pagani rig mounted on a rubber track vehicle. During a CPT sounding, an electronically instrumented cone was hydraulically pushed through the soil to measure tip stress, sleeve friction and pore water pressure at two-centimeter intervals (approximately 1 inch). Based on the published empirical relationships, the measured data was used to derive stratigraphic profile and to estimate soil engineering properties. Prior to each CPT sounding, hand auger boring was performed to a depth of 6 ft BGS and the borehole was backfilled with in situ material. CPT cone was pushed in the backfilled borehole from 0 to 6 ft.

The SPT borings were drilled with truck-mounted CME-55 drilling rig using Mud Rotary techniques. Samples of the soil encountered in the borings were obtained using split-barrel sampling procedures. In the split barrel sampling procedure, the number of blows required to advance a standard 2-inch O.D. split barrel sampler the last 12 inches of the typical total 18-inch penetration by means of a 140-pound hammer with a free fall of 30 inches, is the standard penetration resistance value (SPT-N). This value is used to estimate the in situ relative density of cohesionless soils and consistency of cohesive soils. A safety hammer was used to advance the split-barrel sampler in the borings performed on this site. Prior to each SPT boring, hand auger boring was performed to a depth of 6 ft BGS.

Hand auger borings were conducted in general accordance with ASTM D 1452-80, Standard Practice for Soil Investigation and Sampling by Auger Borings. In this test, hand auger boring is drilled by rotating and advancing a bucket auger to the desired depths while periodically removing the auger from the hole to clear and examine the auger cuttings. The soils were classified in accordance with ASTM D 2488.

APPENDIX B SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Exhibit B-1 Laboratory TestingExhibit B-2 Seismic Design ParametersExhibit B-3 General NotesExhibit B-4 Unified Soil Classification System

Project Name: City of Savannah 63rd Street Relief Line Terracon Project No.: ES115039 Project Location: Savannah, Georgia

Location	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Material Description	USCS	Natural Moisture content (%)	#200 Passing (%)	Plastic Index (%)	Liquid Limit (%)
B-4	SS12	43 to 45	gray, sandy fat clay	СН	101.7		44	123
B-6	SS5	8 to 10	brown and light orange, silty fine sand	SM		12.8		
B-6	SS8	23 to 25	gray, sandy lean clay	CL	22.2		17	43
B-6	SS11	43 to 45	olive-gray, silty fine sand	SM		20.0		
B-10	SS5	8 to 10	tan and light brown, fine sand with silt	SP-SM		10.1		
B-12	SS5	8 to 10	light brown, silty sand	SM		28.6		
B-12	SS12	43 to 45	gray, silty sand	SM		40.2		
B-12	SS13	48 to 50	gray, fine sand with silt	SP-SM	26.5	6.6		

Summary of Soil Laboratory Test

IBC2006 General Procedure

Project Name: City of Savannah 63rd Street Relief Line Project Number: ES115039

Conterminous 48 States 2003 NEHRP Seismic Design Provisions Latitude = 32.03331Longitude = -81.09746Design Response Spectrum for Site Class D SDs = $2/3 \times SMs$ and SD1 = $2/3 \times SM1$ Site Class D - Fa = 1.486, Fv = 2.32

Period	Sa	Sd
(sec)	(g)	(inches)
0.000	0.156	0.000
0.095	0.389	0.035
0.200	0.389	0.152
0.477	0.389	0.866
0.500	0.371	0.907
0.600	0.309	1.088
0.700	0.265	1.270
0.800	0.232	1.451
0.900	0.206	1.633
1.000	0.186	1.814
1.100	0.169	1.996
1.200	0.155	2.177
1.300	0.143	2.358
1.400	0.133	2.540
1.500	0.124	2.721
1.600	0.116	2.903
1.700	0.109	3.084
1.800	0.103	3.265
1.900	0.098	3.447
2.000	0.093	3.628

leruson

IBC2006 General Procedure 0.50 - IBC2006 General Procedure 0.40 0.30 **Design Spectra (g)** 0.50 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 Period (sec)

GENERAL NOTES

DRILLING & SAMPLING SYMBOLS:

- SS: Split Spoon $-1-\frac{3}{8}$ " I.D., 2" O.D., unless otherwise noted H
- ST: Thin-Walled Tube 2" O.D., unless otherwise noted
- RS: Ring Sampler 2.42" I.D., 3" O.D., unless otherwise noted
- DB: Diamond Bit Coring 4", N, B
- BS: Bulk Sample or Auger Sample

- S: Hollow Stem Auger
- PA: Power Auger
- HA: Hand Auger
- RB: Rock Bit
- WB: Wash Boring or Mud Rotary

The number of blows required to advance a standard 2-inch O.D. split-spoon sampler (SS) the last 12 inches of the total 18-inch penetration with a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches is considered the "Standard Penetration" or "N-value".

WATE	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENT SYMBOLS:						
WL:	Water Level	WS:	While Sampling	N/E:	Not Encountered		
WCI:	Wet Cave in	WD:	While Drilling				
DCI:	Dry Cave in	BCR:	Before Casing Removal				
AB:	After Boring	ACR:	After Casing Removal				

Water levels indicated on the boring logs are the levels measured in the borings at the times indicated. Groundwater levels at other times and other locations across the site could vary. In pervious soils, the indicated levels may reflect the location of groundwater. In low permeability soils, the accurate determination of groundwater levels may not be possible with only short-term observations.

DESCRIPTIVE SOIL CLASSIFICATION: Soil classification is based on the Unified Classification System. Coars e Grained Soils have more than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve; their principal descriptors are: boulders, cobbles, gravel or sand. Fine Grained Soils have less than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve; they are principally described as clays if they are plastic, and silts if they are slightly plastic or non-plastic. Major constituents may be added as modifiers and minor constituents may be added according to the relative proportions based on grain size. In addition to gradation, coarse-grained soils are defined on the basis of their in-place relative density and fine-grained soils on the basis of their consistency.

CONSISTENCY OF FINE-GRAINED SOILS

<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Compressive</u> Strength, Qu, psf	Standard Penetration or N-value (SS) Blows/Ft.	<u>Consistency</u>
< 500	0 – 1	Very Soft
500 – 1,000	2 – 4	Soft
1,001 – 2,000	4 – 8	Medium Stiff
2,001 – 4,000	8 – 15	Stiff
4,001 – 8,000	15 — 30	Very Stiff
8,000+ >	30	Hard

RELATIVE PROPORTIONS OF SAND AND GRAVEL

Percent of
Dry Weight
< 15
15 – 29
> 30

RELATIVE PROPORTIONS OF FINES

Descriptive Term(s) of other	Percent of
Constituents	Dry Weigh
Trace <	5
With	5 – 12
Modifiers	> 12

RELATIVE DENSITY OF COARSE-GRAINED SOILS

<u>Standard Penetration</u> or N-value (SS) <u>Blows/Ft.</u>	Relative Density
0-3	Very Loose
4-9	Loose
10 – 29	Medium Dense
30 – 49	Dense
> 50	Very Dense

GRAIN SIZE TERMINOLOGY

Major Component of Sample	Particle Size		
Boulders	Over 12 in. (300mm)		
Cobbles	12 in. to 3 in. (300mm to 75 mm)		
Gravel	3 in. to #4 sieve (75mm to 4.75 mm)		
Sand	#4 to #200 sieve (4.75mm to 0.075mm)		
Silt or Clay	Passing #200 Sieve (0.075mm)		

PLASTICITY DESCRIPTION

Term	<u>Plasticity</u> Index
Non-plastic	0
Low	1 – 10
Medium	11 – 30
High	> 30

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

Criteria for Assigning Group Symbols and Group Names Using Laboratory Tests^A

Criteria for Assig	ning Group Symbol	s and Group Name	s Using Laboratory Tests ^A	Group Symbol Group Name ^B	
	Gravels:	Clean Gravels: Less than 5% fines ^c	$Cu \ge 4$ and $1 \le Cc \le 3^{E}$	GW Well-gr aded gravel F	
	More than 50% of		Cu < 4 and/or 1 > Cc > 3 E	GP Poorl y graded gravel	F
	coarse fraction retained	Gravels with Fines:	Fines classify as ML or MH	GM Silty gravel ^{F,G,H}	
Coarse Grained Soils:	on No. 4 sieve	More than 12% fines ^c	Fines classify as CL or CH	GC Clayey gravel ^{F,G,H}	
on No. 200 sieve	Sands:	Clean Sands:	$Cu \ge 6$ and $1 \le Cc \le 3^{E}$	SW Well-gr aded sand	
	50% or more of coarse fraction passes No. 4 sieve	Less than 5% fines D	$Cu < 6$ and/or $1 > Cc > 3^{E}$	SP Poorl y graded sand	
		Sands with Fines: More than 12% fines D	Fines classify as ML or MH	SM Silty sand ^{G,H,I}	
			Fines classify as CL or CH	SC Clayey sand G,H,I	
	Silts and Clays: Liquid limit less than 50	Inorganic:	PI > 7 and plots on or above "A" line ^J	CL Lean clay ^{K,L,M}	
			PI < 4 or plots below "A" line ^J	ML Silt ^{K,L,M}	
		Organic:	Liquid limit - oven dried	Organic clay K,L,M,N	
Fine-Grained Soils:			Liquid limit - not dried < 0.75	Organic silt ^{K,L,M,O}	
No. 200 sieve		Inorganic:	PI plots on or above "A" line	CH Fat clay ^{K,L,M}	
NO. 200 SIEVE	Silts and Clays: Liquid limit 50 or more		PI plots below "A" line	MH Elastic Silt ^{K,L,M}	
		Organic:	Liquid limit - oven dried	Organic clay K,L,M,P	
			Liquid limit - not dried	Organic silt K,L,M,Q	
Highly organic soils:	Primarily organic matter, dark in color, and organic odor			PT Peat	

^A Based on the material passing the 3-in. (75-mm) sieve

^B If field sample contained cobbles or boulders, or both, add "with cobbles or boulders, or both" to group name.

 $^{\rm C}$ Gravels with 5 to 12% fines require dual symbols: GW-GM well-graded gravel with silt, GW-GC well-graded gravel with clay, GP-GM poorly graded gravel with silt, GP-GC poorly graded gravel with clay.

^D Sands with 5 to 12% fines require dual symbols: SW-SM well-graded sand with silt, SW-SC well-graded sand with clay, SP-SM poorly graded sand with silt, SP-SC poorly graded sand with clay

^E Cu = D₆₀/D₁₀ Cc =
$$\frac{(D_{30})^2}{D_{10} \times D_{60}}$$

 $^{\sf F}$ If soil contains $\geq 15\%$ sand, add "with sand" to group name. ^G If fines classify as CL-ML, use dual symbol GC-GM, or SC-SM. ^H If fines are organic, add "with organic fines" to group name.

- ¹ If soil contains \geq 15% gravel, add "with gravel" to group name.
- ^J If Atterberg limits plot in shaded area, soil is a CL-ML, silty clay.

^K If soil contains 15 to 29% plus No. 200, add "with sand" or "with gravel," whichever is predominant.

Soil Classification

- ^L If soil contains \ge 30% plus No. 200 predominantly sand, add "sandy" to group name.
- ^M If soil contains \geq 30% plus No. 200, predominantly gravel, add "gravelly" to group name.
- ^N $PI \ge 4$ and plots on or above "A" line.
- ^o PI < 4 or plots below "A" line.
- ^P PI plots on or above "A" line.
- ^Q PI plots below "A" line.

