

METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION

"Planning the Future - Respecting the Past"

– MEMORANDUM –

DATE:

MARCH 12, 2019

TO:

THE MAYOR AND ALDERMEN OF THE CITY OF SAVANNAH

FROM:

METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION

SUBJECT:

MPC RECOMMENDATION

PETITION REFERENCED:

Petition to Rezone Property
Teresa Coleman, Petitioner
Barry L. Coleman, Owner
3811 and 3813 Bull Street
Aldermanic District: 5, Dr. Estella Shabazz County Commission District: 5,
Tabitha Odell Property Identification Number: 2-0093-10-016
File No. 18-006285-ZA

MPC ACTION:

<u>Deny</u> of the request to rezone the subject property from the B-G-1

district to the R-I-P district.

MPC STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

<u>Approval</u> of the request to rezone the subject property from the B-G-1

district to the R-I-P district.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

13 + Chairman

Joseph Ervin, Chairman

Thomas Branch

Travis Coles

Ellis Cook

Karen Jarrett

Roberto Hernandez

Lacy Manigault

Tanya Milton

Wayne Noha

Eula Parker

Lee Smith

Linder Suthers Joseph Welch Tom Woiwode

PLANNING COMMISSION VOTE: Deny Staff Recommendation (9-5)

| APPROVAL | DENIAL | ABSENT |
|-----------|----------|--------|
| Votes: 9 | Votes: 5 | |
| Branch | Ervin | |
| Coles | Noha | |
| Cook | Parker | |
| Jarrett | Smith | |
| Hernandez | Welch | |
| Manigault | | |
| Milton | | |
| Suthers | | |
| Woiwode | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Respectfully submitted,

Melanie Wilson Executive Director

/jh

Enclosure

Mark Massey, Clerk of Council cc Lester B. Johnson, Assistant City Attorney Jennifer Herman, Assistant City Attorney Beth Barnes, Department of Inspections

May Sign



Chatham County - Savannah Metropolitan Planning Commission

March 12, 2019 Regular MPC Meeting

Title

REZONING MAP AMENDMENT | 3811 and 3813 Bull Street | B-G-1 (General Business, Transition) to R-I-P (Residential Institutional Professional) | File no. 18-006285-ZA

Description

A request to rezone two parcels totaling 0.234 acres at 3811 and 3813 Bull Street north of the intersection with West 56th Street from BG-1 (General Business, Transition) to R-I-P (Residential-Institutional-Professional).

Recommendation

Staff recommends <u>approval</u> of the request to rezone the subject properties from the B-G-1 district to the R-I-P district.

Contact

Financial Impact

Review Comments

Attachments

- MAPS_COMBINED.pdf
- Staff-Report-18-006285-ZA-MAP_updated.pdf
- Mitchell_materials.pdf



CHATHAM COUNTY-SAVANNAH

METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION

"Planning the Future - Respecting the Past"

MEMORANDUM-

TO:

The Mayor and Aldermen of the City of Savannah

FROM:

The Planning Commission

DATE:

March 12, 2019

SUBJECT:

Petition to Rezone Property Teresa Coleman, Petitioner Barry L. Coleman, Owner 3811 and 3813 Bull Street

Aldermanic District: 5, Dr. Estella Shabazz
County Commission District: 5, Tabitha Odell

Property Identification Numbers: 2-0093-10-016; -018

File No. 18-006285-ZA

REPORT STATUS: MPC Recommendation

Issue:

A request to rezone 2 parcels totaling 0.234-acres at 3811 and 3813 Bull Street, north of the intersection with West 56th Street from BG-1 (General Business, Transition) to R-I-P (Residential-Institutional-Professional).

Background:

Teresa Coleman, the petitioner, first applied to rezone the subject properties for the December 2018 MPC meeting. Upon learning that she lacked documentation to demonstrate that she could act as the legal agent for the property owner—her son—MPC staff continued the application to the subsequent meeting. Ms. Coleman subsequently provided this documentation and the Planning Commission heard the case at the January 8th meeting, recommending approval of the rezoning request.

At the City Council hearing on February 14th, adjacent property owners informed Council that the required public notice sign had not been modified to reflect the adjusted MPC hearing date and cited a lack of public notice for Council hearing. City Council elected to remand the matter back to the MPC for a re-hearing. New notices were sent to nearby property owners and the sign was modified to reflect the new MPC meeting time, scheduled for March 12th, 2019.

File No. 18-006285-ZA Teresa Coleman, Petitioner

March 12, 2019

Properties:

The properties in question are two adjacent parcels totaling 0.234 acres, together measuring 98 by 105 feet. They are presently occupied by two multifamily residential buildings. This use is nonconforming under the current BG-1 zoning, which prohibits residential uses of any kind.

Facts and Findings:

1. **Public Notice:** As required by the City of Savannah Zoning Ordinance, all property owners within 300 feet of the subject property were sent notices of the proposed rezoning on November 21, 2018. Public notice was also posted in various locations around the site. After City Council remanded the matter to the MPC, an additional, corrected public notice was sent to neighboring property owners on February 22, 2019. The sign was also updated to reflect the new meeting date.

Both the initial and subsequent public notices indicated that only parcel 2-0093-10-016 was under consideration for rezoning. This parcel ID originally referred to the entire property but following a subdivision in late 2018 an additional parcel with a PIN ending in -018 was split from the southern portion of the property. The boundaries indicated on the parcel map were not immediately updated to reflect this change, so notices still indicated the single PIN. However, the maps and addresses included in public notices consistently referred to the entire area of the property under consideration and therefore meet the standards of the Georgia Zoning Procedures Act.

2. **Existing Zoning and Development Pattern:** The land uses and zoning districts surrounding the subject site include:

| Location | Land Use | Zoning |
|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| North | Commercial Office | B-G-1 |
| South | Two-Family Residential & Office | B-G-1 |
| East | Church | R-6 |
| West | Single-Family Residential | B-G-1 |

3. Existing BG-1 Zoning District:

a. Intent of the BG-1 District: "The purpose of this district shall be to

recognize general business areas which are developed commercially but which, because of their proximity to residential areas, require greater attention to the array of uses permitted in order to ensure that the quality of life in the surrounding area is not degraded, while at the same time protecting the vitality of the commercial area."

- b. **Allowed Uses:** The permitted uses for the BG-1 district are attached in **Table 1**. These include a wide range of automobile uses, retail, offices, and services.
- c. **Development Standards:** The development standards for the BG-1 zoning district are attached in **Table 3**.

4. **Proposed RIP Zoning District:**

- a. **Proposed Intent of the RIP District:** "The purpose of this district shall be to create an area in which residential, institutional and professional uses can be intermixed and at the same time achieve a healthful living environment."
- b. **Proposed Uses:** The permitted uses for the RIP district are attached in **Table 2**. These are similar to BG-1 with the exception of residential uses, which are permitted, and restaurant uses, which are prohibited.
- c. **Proposed Development Standards:** The development standards for the RIP zoning district are attached in **Table 4**.
- 5. **Land Use Element:** The Chatham County-Savannah Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map designates the subject property as "Traditional Commercial." This classification is defined as "Business areas in close proximity to downtown or in outlying historically settled areas having development patterns characteristic of the Planned Town, Streetcar, and Early Automobile eras. This category includes residential uses that are compatible with the character of adjacent neighborhoods." The proposed zoning district is somewhat inconsistent with the FLUM designation as it is at core a residential classification, but it does permit a range of commercial uses.
- 6. **Public Services and Facilities:** The property is served by the Savannah Police Department, City of Savannah fire protection and by City of Savannah water and sanitary sewer.
- 7. **Transportation Network:** The subject property fronts on Bull Street, which is classified as a minor arterial with approximate annual average daily traffic (AADT) of 10,500 vehicles. The property is served by Chatham Area Transit (CAT) route 14, which runs along Abercorn Street with a stop approximately 850 feet to the east. Route 14 is among the most frequent in the city.

CHAMA DV OF FINDINGS

| SUMI | MARY OF FINDINGS |
|------|--|
| 1. | Will the proposed zoning district permit uses that would create traffic volumes, noise level, odor, airborne particulate matter, visual blight, reduce light or increased density of development that would adversely impact the livability or quality of life in the surrounding neighborhood? |
| | Yes No <u>X</u> |
| | As the RIP district is more restrictive than the B-G-1 in permitting fewer and less intense uses, the proposed zoning will not adversely impact the livability or quality of life in the surrounding neighborhood. |
| 2. | Will the proposed zoning district permit uses that would adversely impact adjacent and nearby properties by rendering such properties less desirable and therefore less marketable for the type of development permitted under the current zoning? |
| | Yes No \underline{X} |
| | See above—the proposed district is more restrictive. |
| 3. | Will the proposed zoning district permit uses that would generate a type or mix of vehicular traffic on a street or highway that is incompatible with the type of land use development along such street or highway? |
| | Yes No <u>X</u> |
| | See above—the proposed district only permits uses with less potential to generate vehicular traffic. |
| 4. | Will the proposed zoning district permit uses that would generate greater traffic volumes at vehicular access points and cross streets than is generated by uses permitted under the current zoning district to the detriment of maintaining acceptable or current volume capacity (V/C) ratio for the streets that provide vehicular access to the proposed zoning district and adjacent and nearby properties? |
| | Yes No <u>X</u> |
| 5. | Will the proposed zoning district permit uses or scale of development that would |

require a greater level of public services such as drainage facilities, utilities, or safety services above that required for uses permitted under the current zoning district such that the provision of these services will create financial burden to the

| public? | | |
|---------|----|---|
| Yes | No | X |

6. Will the proposed zoning district permit uses or scale of development that would adversely impact the improvement or development of adjacent and nearby properties in accordance with existing zoning regulations and development controls deemed necessary to maintain the stability and livability of the surrounding neighborhood?

Yes___ No \underline{X}

The R-I-P district is more restrictive in its development standards and should not adversely impact the improvement or development of adjacent properties.

7. Will the proposed zoning district permit development that is inconsistent with the comprehensive land use plan?

Yes No \underline{X}

R-I-P is generally consistent with the future land use designation, which is "Traditional Commercial." R-I-P permits a range of commercial and office uses while also allowing a variety of residential forms. The only existing instances of traditional commercial zoning are in Mid-City, where a wider range of commercial activity is allowed, but the districts are otherwise largely consistent.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. Approve the petitioner's request as presented.
- 2. Deny the petitioner's request.
- 3. Deny the petitioner's request and approve an alternative classification.

POLICY ANALYSIS:

The subject property is currently occupied by two multifamily buildings, which are nonconforming under the current BG-1 zoning district. The petitioner is proposing rezoning to a district that would bring the existing buildings into conformance while still permitting many non-residential and commercial uses.

The current BG-1 district containing the subject property extends from 53rd lane to 60th lane and ranges between 2 and 4 lots in width west of Bull Street. Within this area there are several instances of nonconforming residential uses, including on parcels immediately south, west, and northwest of the property in question. With so many residences in a

district that prohibits them, this suggests that different zoning may be justified. The BG-1 district may have been intended to eventually drive out residential uses, but this clearly has not happened in practice.

Compared to BG-1, the proposed R-I-P district permits a much narrower range of non-residential uses. Self-storage, manufacturing, greenhouses, furniture repair, and automotive-related uses would all be prohibited. R-I-P does permit residential uses unlike BG-1, but in virtually all cases these are considered less intense than the commercial uses listed above. As the proposed classification would significantly limit the range of uses that could have adverse impacts on nearby properties, it meets the core criteria for a rezoning. Development standards in the R-I-P district are also more restrictive and hence pose few concerns for traffic generation, utilities, setbacks or scale of construction compared to the existing district.

Under the upcoming zoning ordinance (NewZO), the subject properties along with many adjacent parcels are proposed for a TC-1 (Traditional Commercial) zoning district, which is currently restricted to the Mid-City area. As TC-1 allows multifamily residential and a wide range of commercial uses, this district will be broadly consistent with the proposed R-I-P district. TC-1 does permit additional commercial uses including restaurants, but this does not represent a significant departure from R-I-P, which is eliminated as part of the NewZO effort.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission staff recommends <u>denial</u> of the request to rezone the subject property from the B-G-1 district to the R-I-P district after considering testimony provided at the March 12th, 2019 meeting.

Table 1:

| B-G-1 Zoning District | + " |
|--|------|
| List of Uses | Use# |
| Accessory storage buildings | 82a |
| Accessory uses | 90 |
| Ambulance service or rescue squad | 42 |
| Animal grooming establishment | 25a |
| Animal hospital, veterinary clinic, animal boarding place or animal grooming salon | 25 |
| Automobile parking lot or parking garage | 55 |
| Automobile service station (including an automobile washateria) | 50 |
| Automobile storage garage | 55b |
| Automobile upholstery shop | 53 |
| Banks and offices | 37 |
| Bicycle and moped sales and service | 52b |
| Book cover processing | 71a |
| Building contractor and related construction contractors | 68 |
| Building supplies and materials | 63 |
| Catering services | 49b |
| Child sitting center | 20e |
| Church or other place of worship | 11 |
| Clothing stores and dry goods | 34 |
| Cold storage and freezer plant | 73 |
| Congregate care home for the elderly (over 15 persons) | 10g |
| Congregate care home for the mentally retarded (over 15 persons) | 10i |
| Craft shops | 36b |
| Cultural facilities | 19 |
| Department stores | 39 |
| Electrical repair and similar repair | 61 |
| Eleemosynary or philanthropic institution | 14 |
| Equipment rental | 59a |
| Food service centers for homeless persons | 101 |
| Food stores and drugstores | 32 |
| Fur storage vaults | 73a |
| Furniture repair, including furniture refinishing, refurbishing and upholstery shops | 35a |
| Glass sales and installation | 64 |
| Greenhouse and plant nursery | 23 |
| Group care home for the abused or mistreated (seven or greater persons) | 10e |
| Group care home for the elderly (seven to 15 persons) | 10f |
| Group care home for the mentally retarded | 10h |
| Home furnishing and hardware | 35 |
| Homes for chemically dependent persons | 10d |
| Incidental outdoor storage | 82b |
| Indoor car wash | 50a |

Map Amendment File No. 18-006285-ZA Teresa Coleman, Petitioner March 12, 2019

| Janitorial services contractor | 38 |
|---|-----|
| Laboratory serving professional requirements, dentists, medical, etc | 57 |
| Light manufacturing | 83 |
| Locksmith, gunsmith, and similar activities | 62 |
| Major automobile body repair and paint shops | 51 |
| Major automobile mechanical repair, maintenance, service or similar activities | 51a |
| Motorcycle, motor scooter sales and services | 52a |
| Newspaper | 69 |
| Personal care home for the handicapped and/or elderly (six or fewer persons) | 10p |
| Personal service schools | 47e |
| Personal service shops | 33 |
| Pest control | 63b |
| Photography studio | 40 |
| Plant and produce shops | 32c |
| Post secondary schools (College, junior college, university) | 46b |
| Printing or letter shop | 70 |
| Public uses | 15 |
| Public utility | 16 |
| Radio or television broadcasting studio | 43a |
| Restaurant, sit-down or cafeteria, which does not serve alcoholic beverages | 48a |
| Restaurants, fast food or drive-thru | 49a |
| Retail automobile parts and tire store | 54 |
| Sale of beer and wine, by the package, when incidental to other principal retail grocery, drug or, variety stores | 32b |
| Self-storage miniwarehouse | 75b |
| Small electric motor repair | 61a |
| Specialty shops | 36a |
| Teaching of music, voice, and dance | 47c |
| Telegraph or messenger service | 44 |
| Telephone exchange | 18 |
| Tree cutting/pruning contractor | 23a |
| Vocational and technical schools | 47 |
| Wholesaling or warehousing | 75 |

Table 2:

| RIP Zoning District | |
|---|-------|
| List of Uses | Use # |
| Accessory dwelling structure | 6 |
| Accessory use | 53 |
| Administrative office for city-sponsored neighborhood housing service district | 48 |
| Adult day care center | 18m |
| Animal hospital, veterinary clinic, animal boarding place, or animal grooming salon | 37a |
| Antique shops | 61 |
| Automobile parking lot or parking garage (parking garage may provide gasoline pumps) | 46 |
| Banks and offices, office buildings, loan agencies, professional offices, business offices and facilities of a similar nature | 47 |
| Bed and breakfast guest unit | 12 |
| Bicycle shop | 48c |
| Book and stationery stores, including office supplies and printing businesses | 59 |
| Catering services | 69 |
| Ceramic studio and shop | 64 |
| Child care center | 22b |
| Church and other places of worship | 15 |
| Club or lodge | 34 |
| Colleges and universities | 21 |
| Commercial greenhouses or plant nursery | 44 |
| Communication equipment, rental service | 64d |
| Congregate care home for the mentally retarded (over 15 persons) | 18i |
| Congregate personal care home for the elderly (16 or more persons) | 18g |
| Congregate personal care home for the mentally ill (16 or more persons) | 18c |
| Convent or monastery | 16 |
| Cultural facilities, art galleries, museums, legitimate theaters, little theaters, libraries and other facilities of a similar nature | 60 |
| Customary auxiliary retail sales and services in connection with a hotel, office building or institutional use | 51 |
| Day nurseries and kindergartens | 22a |
| Owelling, one-family | 1 |
| Dwelling, two-family | 2 |
| Dwellings, multifamily | 3 |
| Dwellings, three- and four-family | 2b |
| Eleemosynary or philanthropic institutions | 18 |
| Emergency shelters for homeless persons | 18j |
| Family adult day care center | 18n |
| Family personal care home for the elderly six (6) or fewer persons | 18q |
| Family personal care home for the handicapped six (6) or fewer persons | 18p |
| Florist shops | 58 |
| Food service centers for homeless persons | 181 |
| raternity and sorority houses | 10 |
| Funeral homes and crematory | 50 |

Map Amendment File No. 18-006285-ZA Teresa Coleman, Petitioner March 12, 2019

| Golf course | 30 |
|--|-----|
| Group care home for the abused or mistreated (seven to 15 persons) | 18e |
| Group care home for the elderly (seven to 15 persons) | 18f |
| Group care home for the mentally retarded (seven to 15 persons) | 18h |
| Group personal care home for the mentally ill (seven to 15 persons) | 18b |
| Heliport, helistop | 26a |
| Home occupation | 52 |
| Homes for chemically dependent persons | 18d |
| Hospitals and care homes | 17 |
| Hotel, motel, motor lodge | 11 |
| Inn | 14 |
| Interior decorating business | 51a |
| Laboratories serving professional requirements, medical, dental, optical, and similar uses | 47b |
| Laundry pickup services | 63a |
| Mixed use, nonresidential | 48a |
| Mixed use, residential | 48b |
| Personal service shops | 63 |
| Pharmacy | 57 |
| Photography studio | 62 |
| Post office | 57a |
| Private and parochial schools | 22 |
| Public schools | 20 |
| Public use | 23 |
| Public utilities | 27 |
| Radio or television studio | 49 |
| Roominghouses and boardinghouses | 9 |
| Sanitarium or mental care home | 17a |
| Satellite dish | 53a |
| Secondary use (professional office) | 47a |
| Senior citizen congregate housing | 18r |
| Single-room occupancy residences | 180 |
| Specialty craft shops | 64c |
| Specialty shops | 64a |
| Tailor shop | 64b |
| Teaching of music, voice, and dance | 60a |
| Temporary use | 15a |
| Transitional shelters for homeless persons | 18k |

Map Amendment File No. 18-006285-ZA Teresa Coleman, Petitioner

December 3, 2018

Table 3

| B-G-1 Zoning District Schedule of Development Standards | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Minimum Lot Area (square feet) for one- family dwellings and nonresidential; per dwelling unit for two- family and multi-family dwellings | - | - | |
| Minimum Lot Width (feet) | - | - | |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback from Center Line of Street Right-of- Way (feet) | Freeway or parkway Major arterial Secondary arterial or rural road Collector street Residential street Access easement | 90 50 40 30 30 36 | |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback (feet) | | 10 from abutting R district property | |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback (feet) | - | adjoining R district property's setback | |
| Maximum Height (feet) | - | - | |
| Maximum Building Coverage (percent) | - | <u>-</u> | |
| Maximum Density (units per net acre) | - | - | |

Table 4

| RIP Zonir | ng District Schedule of Development Standards | |
|---|---|--|
| Minimum Lot Area (square feet) for one-family dwellings and nonresidential; per dwelling unit for two-family and multi-family dwellings | Residential: 1. Single-family 2. Two-family 3. Multifamily: a. 3-4 dwellings b. 5-6 dwellings c. 7-8 dwellings d. 9 or more dwellings 4. Single-family semidetached 5. Single-family townhouse, attached, row or end-row 6. Accessory dwelling Nonresidential | 6,000 3,600 2,500 2,150 2,000 1,900 3,600 1,800 1,800 6,000 |
| Minimum Lot Width (feet) | Residential: 1. Single-family 2. Two-family 3. Multifamily: a. 3-4 dwellings b. 5-6 dwellings c. 7-8 dwellings d. 9 or more dwellings 4. Single-family semidetached 5. Single-family townhouse, attached, row or end-row 6. Accessory dwelling Nonresidential | 60 60 60 60 60 30 18 18 |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback from Center Line of Street Right-of-Way (feet) | Freeway or parkway Major arterial Secondary arterial or rural road Collector street Residential street Access easement | 115 85 70 60 50 36 |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback (feet) | Residential: 1. Single-family 2. Two-family 3. Multifamily Nonresidential | 5 5 10 10 |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback (feet) | Residential: 1. Single-family 2. Two-family 3. Multifamily Nonresidential | 25 25 25 25 25 |
| Maximum Height (feet) | Residential: 1. Single-family 2. Two-family 3. Multifamily Nonresidential | - 40 40 50 |

Map Amendment File No. 18-006285-ZA Teresa Coleman, Petitioner December 3, 2018

| Maximum Building Coverage (percent) | Residential: 1. Single-family 2. Two-family 3. Multifamily Nonresidential | 40 40 40 50 |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Maximum Density (units per net acre) | - | - |